

Measure-preserving PL dynamical systems in \mathbb{R}^3 with bounded trajectories

Jeff Ford

10/2/17

Auburn University

We will demonstrate here the construction of a piecewise-linear, measure-preserving, non-singular dynamical system on \mathbb{R}^3 , with each trajectory contained in a bounded set. This is achieved by creating a nested sequence of *PL* approximations of solid tori, whose union is \mathbb{R}^3 . We then build a 1-foliation of each of the nested tori, which is modified using the slanted suspension construction of [GK],[GKK], to become a measured foliation of \mathbb{R}^3 , with each leaf contained in a bounded set. Finally, the leaves in this measured foliation are used to create a *PL*, measure-preserving, non-singular, dynamical system, with each orbit contained in a bounded set. • We assume all manifolds here are *PL* (piecewise-linear), and that they can be triangulated.

- We assume all manifolds here are *PL* (piecewise-linear), and that they can be triangulated.
- All functions are assumed to be *PL* homeomorphisms, meaning that they are linear on each simplex in our triangulation.

Dynamical Systems

- A continuous dynamical system is a triple (ℝ, Ω, π), with Ω a topological space and π : ℝ × Ω → Ω, such that
 - π is continuous
 - For any $x \in \Omega$, and any $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\pi(0, x) = x$ and $\pi(t_1, \pi(t_2, x)) = \pi(t_1 + t_2, x)$.

- A continuous dynamical system is a triple (ℝ, Ω, π), with Ω a topological space and π : ℝ × Ω → Ω, such that
 - π is continuous
 - For any $x \in \Omega$, and any $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\pi(0, x) = x$ and $\pi(t_1, \pi(t_2, x)) = \pi(t_1 + t_2, x)$.
- We often write $\pi(t, x)$ as tx for simplicity.

- A continuous dynamical system is a triple (ℝ, Ω, π), with Ω a topological space and π : ℝ × Ω → Ω, such that
 - π is continuous
 - For any $x \in \Omega$, and any $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\pi(0, x) = x$ and $\pi(t_1, \pi(t_2, x)) = \pi(t_1 + t_2, x)$.
- We often write $\pi(t, x)$ as tx for simplicity.
- γ(x) = {tx : t ∈ ℝ} is the trajectory of x. This set may also be referred to as the orbit of x.

- A continuous dynamical system is a triple (ℝ, Ω, π), with Ω a topological space and π : ℝ × Ω → Ω, such that
 - π is continuous
 - For any $x \in \Omega$, and any $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\pi(0, x) = x$ and $\pi(t_1, \pi(t_2, x)) = \pi(t_1 + t_2, x)$.
- We often write $\pi(t, x)$ as tx for simplicity.
- γ(x) = {tx : t ∈ ℝ} is the trajectory of x. This set may also be referred to as the orbit of x.
- A point $x \in \Omega$ is a *fixed point* if x = tx for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

- A continuous dynamical system is a triple (ℝ, Ω, π), with Ω a topological space and π : ℝ × Ω → Ω, such that
 - π is continuous
 - For any $x \in \Omega$, and any $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\pi(0, x) = x$ and $\pi(t_1, \pi(t_2, x)) = \pi(t_1 + t_2, x)$.
- We often write $\pi(t, x)$ as tx for simplicity.
- γ(x) = {tx : t ∈ ℝ} is the trajectory of x. This set may also be referred to as the orbit of x.
- A point $x \in \Omega$ is a *fixed point* if x = tx for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (ℝ, Ω, π) is a *non-singular* dynamical system if it contains no fixed points.

• Define $\pi^{-1} : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to \Omega$ by $\pi^{-1}(t, x) = \pi(-t, x)$.

- Define $\pi^{-1} : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to \Omega$ by $\pi^{-1}(t, x) = \pi(-t, x)$.
- For any $A \subset \Omega$, define $\pi(t, A) = \{\pi(t, x) : x \in A\}$.

- Define $\pi^{-1} : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to \Omega$ by $\pi^{-1}(t, x) = \pi(-t, x)$.
- For any $A \subset \Omega$, define $\pi(t, A) = \{\pi(t, x) : x \in A\}$.
- If μ is a measure on Ω, such that for any measurable set A ⊂ Ω and any t ∈ ℝ, μ(A) = μ(π⁻¹(t, A)), then (ℝ, Ω, π, μ) is a measure-preserving dynamical system [Ro].

- Define $\pi^{-1} : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to \Omega$ by $\pi^{-1}(t, x) = \pi(-t, x)$.
- For any $A \subset \Omega$, define $\pi(t, A) = \{\pi(t, x) : x \in A\}$.
- If μ is a measure on Ω, such that for any measurable set A ⊂ Ω and any t ∈ ℝ, μ(A) = μ(π⁻¹(t, A)), then (ℝ, Ω, π, μ) is a measure-preserving dynamical system [Ro].
- Since our manifolds are all *PL*, we assume our measures are simplicial, that is, the measure is equivalent to Lebesgue measure on each simplex (after embedding into Rⁿ under a chart map.)

Thanks to G. Kuperberg [GK], we have a a piecewise-linear analog of the results of Moser [Mos], which allows us to move between simplicial measures on PL manifolds.

Thanks to G. Kuperberg [GK], we have a a piecewise-linear analog of the results of Moser [Mos], which allows us to move between simplicial measures on PL manifolds.

Theorem

Two simplicial measures on a connected, compact, PL-manifold, with the same total volume, are equivalent under a PL homeomorphism. Moreover, any simplicial measure is locally PL-Lebesgue. Thanks to G. Kuperberg [GK], we have a a piecewise-linear analog of the results of Moser [Mos], which allows us to move between simplicial measures on PL manifolds.

Theorem

Two simplicial measures on a connected, compact, PL-manifold, with the same total volume, are equivalent under a PL homeomorphism. Moreover, any simplicial measure is locally PL-Lebesgue.

Starting point for the construction

Inspiration for the construction comes from Jones and Yorke [JY].

Starting point for the construction

Inspiration for the construction comes from Jones and Yorke [JY].



• By a *PL* torus, we mean a triangulated torus with 16 subdivisions around the major circumference, and 64 subdivisions around the minor circumference.

- By a *PL* torus, we mean a triangulated torus with 16 subdivisions around the major circumference, and 64 subdivisions around the minor circumference.
- Let T_0^{PL} be a *PL* torus, in the *xy*-plane, centered at the origin, with minor radius $m_0 = 1$ and major radius $M_0 = 4$.

- By a *PL* torus, we mean a triangulated torus with 16 subdivisions around the major circumference, and 64 subdivisions around the minor circumference.
- Let T_0^{PL} be a *PL* torus, in the *xy*-plane, centered at the origin, with minor radius $m_0 = 1$ and major radius $M_0 = 4$.
- Let T_1^{PL} be a *PL* torus, in the *yz*-plane, centered at (0,48,0), with minor radius $m_1 = 10$ and major radius $M_1 = 48$. Rotate the entire torus by an angle of $\pi/16$.

- By a *PL* torus, we mean a triangulated torus with 16 subdivisions around the major circumference, and 64 subdivisions around the minor circumference.
- Let T_0^{PL} be a *PL* torus, in the *xy*-plane, centered at the origin, with minor radius $m_0 = 1$ and major radius $M_0 = 4$.
- Let T_1^{PL} be a *PL* torus, in the *yz*-plane, centered at (0,48,0), with minor radius $m_1 = 10$ and major radius $M_1 = 48$. Rotate the entire torus by an angle of $\pi/16$.
- Let T_n^{PL} be a *PL* torus, in the *xy*-plane if *n* is even, and the *yz*-plane if *n* is odd, centered at $(0,(4)12^n,0)$, with minor radius $m_n = \frac{5}{2}M_{n-1}$ and major radius $M_n = 12M_{n-1}$. Again, rotate by $\pi/16$ after each construction.

Make the tori PL



Make the tori PL



Let *M* be an *n*-manifold. Fix some indexing set *A*. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{L_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$ be a collection of arcwise connected subsets of *M*. \mathcal{F} is a *1-dimensional* foliation of *M* if

(i)
$$L_{\alpha} \cap L_{\beta} = \emptyset$$
 for $\alpha \neq \beta$

(ii)
$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} L_{\alpha} = M$$
.

(iii) Given any point $p \in M$, there exists a chart of $(U_{\lambda}, \varphi_{\lambda})$ about p, such that for L_{α} with $L_{\alpha} \cap U_{\lambda} \neq \emptyset$, each path component of $\varphi(L_{\alpha} \cap U_{\lambda})$ is of the form

$$\{x_1 \in \varphi_{\lambda}(U_{\lambda}) : x_2 = c_1, x_3 = c_2, \dots, x_n = c_{n-1}\}$$

where each c_i is a constant determined by L_{α} .

Each L_{α} is a *leaf* of the foliation \mathcal{F} . We can view the embeddings as splitting \mathbb{R}^n into two pieces, \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . On \mathbb{R} , the coordinates of the embedding vary with L_{α} , but on \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , the coordinates are fixed.

Each L_{α} is a *leaf* of the foliation \mathcal{F} . We can view the embeddings as splitting \mathbb{R}^n into two pieces, \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . On \mathbb{R} , the coordinates of the embedding vary with L_{α} , but on \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , the coordinates are fixed.



[H, LN]

Each L_{α} is a *leaf* of the foliation \mathcal{F} . We can view the embeddings as splitting \mathbb{R}^n into two pieces, \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . On \mathbb{R} , the coordinates of the embedding vary with L_{α} , but on \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , the coordinates are fixed.



[H, LN] We say the foliation is *oriented* if the embedding preserves the usual orientation of \mathbb{R} [T].



• Take a collection of closed disks called *flow boxes* of the form $D_k \times D_{n-k}$, whose interiors cover *M*.

- Take a collection of closed disks called *flow boxes* of the form $D_k \times D_{n-k}$, whose interiors cover *M*.
- Each L_α passing through a flow box to intersect the box in a collection of horizontal disks D_k × {y}.

- Take a collection of closed disks called *flow boxes* of the form $D_k \times D_{n-k}$, whose interiors cover *M*.
- Each L_α passing through a flow box to intersect the box in a collection of horizontal disks D_k × {y}.
- A transversal T is a smooth (n k)-dimensional submanifold which is transverse to each L_α.

- Take a collection of closed disks called *flow boxes* of the form $D_k \times D_{n-k}$, whose interiors cover *M*.
- Each L_α passing through a flow box to intersect the box in a collection of horizontal disks D_k × {y}.
- A transversal T is a smooth (n k)-dimensional submanifold which is transverse to each L_α.
- *T* is *small* if it can be surrounded by a single flow box.

- Take a collection of closed disks called *flow boxes* of the form $D_k \times D_{n-k}$, whose interiors cover *M*.
- Each L_α passing through a flow box to intersect the box in a collection of horizontal disks D_k × {y}.
- A *transversal* T is a smooth (n k)-dimensional submanifold which is transverse to each \mathcal{L}_{α} .
- *T* is *small* if it can be surrounded by a single flow box.
- A *transverse measure* μ on \mathcal{F} is a function which assigns each small transversal a finite non-negative number[RS].

• μ must be additive on a union of transversals.

- μ must be additive on a union of transversals.
- Given two transversals, α , β , a measure μ is *invariant* if, when α and β are isotopic, with isotopy parallel to the foliation, then $\mu(\alpha) = \mu(\beta)$.
- μ must be additive on a union of transversals.
- Given two transversals, α , β , a measure μ is *invariant* if, when α and β are isotopic, with isotopy parallel to the foliation, then $\mu(\alpha) = \mu(\beta)$.
- Then the pair (\mathcal{F}, μ) is a measured foliation. [FLP]

Start with a flow box

Only one coordinate changes on each leaf.

•		
1		
1		
1		
1		
1		
1		
•		
1		
1		
•		
-		
1		
1		
1		
1		
1		

Add in a transversal, which we call $\alpha.$



Add coordinates, and define $\mu(\alpha) = 2$



17

Isotopies of transversals



Isotopies of transversals



From foliations to dynamics

We can describe how to foliate our nested tori, but we need to be sure we can end up with a dynamical system to satisfy our theorem.



Let M be the hyperboloid of one sheet in $\mathbb{R}^3,$ given by the parametric equations

$$x = \sqrt{u^2 + 1} \cos(v)$$
$$y = \sqrt{u^2 + 1} \sin(v)$$
$$z = u$$

for $u \in [-1, 1]$ and $v \in [0, 2\pi]$.

Let M be the hyperboloid of one sheet in \mathbb{R}^3 , given by the parametric equations

$$x = \sqrt{u^2 + 1} \cos(v)$$
$$y = \sqrt{u^2 + 1} \sin(v)$$
$$z = u$$

for $u \in [-1, 1]$ and $v \in [0, 2\pi]$.

Assume M is foliated by circular leaves, lying parallel to the xy-plane

Hyperboloid Example



• Let α be a transversal on M.

- Let α be a transversal on M.
- Assume there exists a transversal β , with α isotopic to β . We must show that their transverse measures are equal.

- Let α be a transversal on M.
- Assume there exists a transversal β , with α isotopic to β . We must show that their transverse measures are equal.
- Since our leaves are circular and parallel to the xy-plane in ℝ³, when the chart map is applied, the change in the y coordinate on each small transversal that makes up α will be kept constant during an isotopy parallel to the leaves that moves α to β. Therefore, μ(α) = μ(β).

To give a specific example, let α be the transversal connecting the points $(\sqrt{2}, 0, 1)$ and $(\sqrt{2}, 0, -1)$, and β connect the points $(0, \sqrt{2}, 1)$ and $(0, \sqrt{2}, -1)$.

Isotopic transversals

To give a specific example, let α be the transversal connecting the points $(\sqrt{2}, 0, 1)$ and $(\sqrt{2}, 0, -1)$, and β connect the points $(0, \sqrt{2}, 1)$ and $(0, \sqrt{2}, -1)$.



The function $f : \alpha \times [0,1] \rightarrow \beta$ is $f(x, y, z, t) = (\sqrt{2}\cos(\frac{t\pi}{2}), \sqrt{2}\sin(\frac{t\pi}{2}), z).$

The function $f : \alpha \times [0,1] \rightarrow \beta$ is $f(x, y, z, t) = (\sqrt{2}\cos(\frac{t\pi}{2}), \sqrt{2}\sin(\frac{t\pi}{2}), z).$



This gives α when t = 0, β when t = 1, and is an isotopy.

The function $f : \alpha \times [0,1] \rightarrow \beta$ is $f(x, y, z, t) = (\sqrt{2} \cos(\frac{t\pi}{2}), \sqrt{2} \sin(\frac{t\pi}{2}), z).$



This gives α when t = 0, β when t = 1, and is an isotopy. We can also see that $\mu(\alpha) = \mu(\beta)$.

The function $f : \alpha \times [0,1] \rightarrow \beta$ is $f(x, y, z, t) = (\sqrt{2} \cos(\frac{t\pi}{2}), \sqrt{2} \sin(\frac{t\pi}{2}), z).$



This gives α when t = 0, β when t = 1, and is an isotopy. We can also see that $\mu(\alpha) = \mu(\beta)$. We conclude that (M, \mathcal{F}) is a measured foliation.

• Each leaf in this foliation is circular.

- Each leaf in this foliation is circular.
- Fix the set α as above.

- Each leaf in this foliation is circular.
- Fix the set α as above.
- Define the length of a leaf in \mathcal{F} by fixing a point on the leaf in α and measuring the metric distance along the leaf, following the orientation, until the leaf intersects α again.

- Each leaf in this foliation is circular.
- Fix the set α as above.
- Define the length of a leaf in \mathcal{F} by fixing a point on the leaf in α and measuring the metric distance along the leaf, following the orientation, until the leaf intersects α again.



 α is transverse to all of ${\cal F}$, and each leaf in ${\cal F}$ intersects α exactly once.

• For a given $p_0 \in M$, let $l(p_0)$ be the length of the leaf containing p_0 .

- For a given $p_0 \in M$, let $l(p_0)$ be the length of the leaf containing p_0 .
- For a point p₀ ∈ M, define π : ℝ × M → M, by letting π(t, p₀) be the pre-image (under the chart map) of the point φ_λ(p₀) + l(p₀)t, which shifts the point along the image of the leaf l(p₀)t units.

- For a given $p_0 \in M$, let $l(p_0)$ be the length of the leaf containing p_0 .
- For a point p₀ ∈ M, define π : ℝ × M → M, by letting π(t, p₀) be the pre-image (under the chart map) of the point φ_λ(p₀) + l(p₀)t, which shifts the point along the image of the leaf l(p₀)t units.
- Since the chart maps in the foliation are continuous, π is continuous.

- For a given $p_0 \in M$, let $l(p_0)$ be the length of the leaf containing p_0 .
- For a point p₀ ∈ M, define π : ℝ × M → M, by letting π(t, p₀) be the pre-image (under the chart map) of the point φ_λ(p₀) + l(p₀)t, which shifts the point along the image of the leaf l(p₀)t units.
- Since the chart maps in the foliation are continuous, π is continuous.
- $\pi(0, p_0) = p_0$ (since it is not translated along the leaf at all)

- For a given $p_0 \in M$, let $l(p_0)$ be the length of the leaf containing p_0 .
- For a point p₀ ∈ M, define π : ℝ × M → M, by letting π(t, p₀) be the pre-image (under the chart map) of the point φ_λ(p₀) + l(p₀)t, which shifts the point along the image of the leaf l(p₀)t units.
- Since the chart maps in the foliation are continuous, π is continuous.
- $\pi(0, p_0) = p_0$ (since it is not translated along the leaf at all)
- π(t₁, (π(t₂, p₀)) = π(t₁ + t₂, p₀) (since two translations along ℝ are easily composed).

- For a given $p_0 \in M$, let $l(p_0)$ be the length of the leaf containing p_0 .
- For a point p₀ ∈ M, define π : ℝ × M → M, by letting π(t, p₀) be the pre-image (under the chart map) of the point φ_λ(p₀) + l(p₀)t, which shifts the point along the image of the leaf l(p₀)t units.
- Since the chart maps in the foliation are continuous, π is continuous.
- $\pi(0, p_0) = p_0$ (since it is not translated along the leaf at all)
- π(t₁, (π(t₂, p₀)) = π(t₁ + t₂, p₀) (since two translations along ℝ are easily composed).
- Therefore the definition of a dynamical system is satisfied by (M, \mathbb{R}, π) .

• We have μ as the transverse measure on \mathcal{F} .

- We have μ as the transverse measure on \mathcal{F} .
- Let $A \subset M$.

- We have μ as the transverse measure on \mathcal{F} .
- Let $A \subset M$.
- Let ω_M be the Riemannian volume form on M.

- We have μ as the transverse measure on \mathcal{F} .
- Let $A \subset M$.
- Let ω_M be the Riemannian volume form on M.
- Decompose A as a union of small transversals.

- We have μ as the transverse measure on \mathcal{F} .
- Let $A \subset M$.
- Let ω_M be the Riemannian volume form on M.
- Decompose A as a union of small transversals.
- Think of points on *M* in cylindrical coordinates.

- We have μ as the transverse measure on \mathcal{F} .
- Let $A \subset M$.
- Let ω_M be the Riemannian volume form on M.
- Decompose A as a union of small transversals.
- Think of points on *M* in cylindrical coordinates.




 Our chart maps preserve the orientation of leaves in the x direction, so π⁻¹(t, A) will rotate A around M, scaled by the length of each leaf going through each point in A, so the change in θ between any two points in A is preserved under π⁻¹.



- Our chart maps preserve the orientation of leaves in the x direction, so π⁻¹(t, A) will rotate A around M, scaled by the length of each leaf going through each point in A, so the change in θ between any two points in A is preserved under π⁻¹.
- For any fixed angle of rotation around the hyperboloid, the metric on *M* is determined by *r* and *z*, and that distance is represented by changes in the *x*-coordinates under the chart map.



- Our chart maps preserve the orientation of leaves in the x direction, so π⁻¹(t, A) will rotate A around M, scaled by the length of each leaf going through each point in A, so the change in θ between any two points in A is preserved under π⁻¹.
- For any fixed angle of rotation around the hyperboloid, the metric on *M* is determined by *r* and *z*, and that distance is represented by changes in the *x*-coordinates under the chart map.
- The invariance of μ with respect to the x-direction after the chart map is applied, will preserve the change in the remaining components of ω_M under π⁻¹(t, A).

 Therefore each point in A will have it's r and z coordinates preserved under f⁻¹(t, A), and while each point will move a different distance, the change in the angle θ will be the same for each point. We conclude that ω_M is preserved under π⁻¹(t, A) for any choice of t ∈ ℝ.

- Therefore each point in A will have it's r and z coordinates preserved under f⁻¹(t, A), and while each point will move a different distance, the change in the angle θ will be the same for each point. We conclude that ω_M is preserved under π⁻¹(t, A) for any choice of t ∈ ℝ.
- Let ν be the measure associated with ω_M, then, (M, ℝ, f, ν) is a measure-preserving dynamical system.

An oriented, measured, 1-foliation (\mathcal{F}, μ) on a compact, connected, orientable 3-manifold, yields a measure-preserving dynamical system.

An oriented, measured, 1-foliation (\mathcal{F}, μ) on a compact, connected, orientable 3-manifold, yields a measure-preserving dynamical system.

This is a simplification of the results in Plante [P], Walczak [Wa], and Hurder [H, LN]. It is sufficient for our purposes here.

An oriented, measured, 1-foliation (\mathcal{F}, μ) on a compact, connected, orientable 3-manifold, yields a measure-preserving dynamical system.

This is a simplification of the results in Plante [P], Walczak [Wa], and Hurder [H, LN]. It is sufficient for our purposes here. \mathbb{R}^3 of course is not compact, but we can work around this.



• Let H be a compact manifold, with $f : H \times [a, b] \rightarrow H \times [a, b]$ be a PL homeomorphism.

- Let H be a compact manifold, with $f : H \times [a, b] \rightarrow H \times [a, b]$ be a PL homeomorphism.
- Fix a real number $l \in (0, 1)$.

Slanted suspensions

- Let H be a compact manifold, with $f : H \times [a, b] \rightarrow H \times [a, b]$ be a PL homeomorphism.
- Fix a real number $l \in (0, 1)$.
- For each fixed point $x \in H$ and $y \in [a, b]$, let $L_{xy} = \{(x, y + lz, z) : y + lz \in [a, b] \text{ and } z \in [0, 1]\}.$

- Let H be a compact manifold, with $f : H \times [a, b] \rightarrow H \times [a, b]$ be a PL homeomorphism.
- Fix a real number $l \in (0, 1)$.
- For each fixed point $x \in H$ and $y \in [a, b]$, let $L_{xy} = \{(x, y + lz, z) : y + lz \in [a, b] \text{ and } z \in [0, 1]\}.$
- $\mathcal{L} = \{L_{xy} : \forall (x, y) \in H \times [a, b]\}$ is a foliation of $H \times [a, b] \times [0, 1]$, with leaves oriented from $H \times [a, b] \times \{0\}$ to $H \times [a, b] \times \{1\}$.

- Let H be a compact manifold, with $f : H \times [a, b] \rightarrow H \times [a, b]$ be a PL homeomorphism.
- Fix a real number $l \in (0, 1)$.
- For each fixed point $x \in H$ and $y \in [a, b]$, let $L_{xy} = \{(x, y + lz, z) : y + lz \in [a, b] \text{ and } z \in [0, 1]\}.$
- $\mathcal{L} = \{L_{xy} : \forall (x, y) \in H \times [a, b]\}$ is a foliation of $H \times [a, b] \times [0, 1]$, with leaves oriented from $H \times [a, b] \times \{0\}$ to $H \times [a, b] \times \{1\}$.
- The slanted suspension of H × [a, b] with slant I is the foliation of the quotient space generated by the equivalence (f(x, y), 0) ~ (x, y, 1), with a foliation F induced by L. [GKK]

- Let H be a compact manifold, with $f : H \times [a, b] \rightarrow H \times [a, b]$ be a PL homeomorphism.
- Fix a real number $l \in (0, 1)$.
- For each fixed point $x \in H$ and $y \in [a, b]$, let $L_{xy} = \{(x, y + lz, z) : y + lz \in [a, b] \text{ and } z \in [0, 1]\}.$
- $\mathcal{L} = \{L_{xy} : \forall (x, y) \in H \times [a, b]\}$ is a foliation of $H \times [a, b] \times [0, 1]$, with leaves oriented from $H \times [a, b] \times \{0\}$ to $H \times [a, b] \times \{1\}$.
- The slanted suspension of H × [a, b] with slant I is the foliation of the quotient space generated by the equivalence (f(x, y), 0) ~ (x, y, 1), with a foliation F induced by L. [GKK]
- Denote this as M_{∼f,I}.

• *H* be a single point, and [a, b] = [0, 1]. We construct a slanted suspension with a singled fixed circular orbit.

- *H* be a single point, and [a, b] = [0, 1]. We construct a slanted suspension with a singled fixed circular orbit.
- Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ be given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2x - 1 & \text{if } x \in [1/2, 1] \\ \frac{2x}{3} & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

- *H* be a single point, and [a, b] = [0, 1]. We construct a slanted suspension with a singled fixed circular orbit.
- Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ be given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2x - 1 & \text{if } x \in [1/2, 1] \\ \frac{2x}{3} & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

• Take the slanted suspension with slant 1/4. The points 0 and 1 are fixed and f(3/4) = 1/2.

• There is a leaf from (1/2,0) to (3/4,1). This leaf comes from the slant, but the point (3/4,1) has the first coordinate shifted down by the same amount as the slant of the suspension. Thus (3/4,1) is identified with (1/2,0), creating a fixed circular leaf in the foliation.



Let *M* be a 2 dimensional PL-manifold, μ a simplicial measure on *M*, and *f* a measure-preserving PL-homeomorphism on *M*. Then the foliation $M_{\sim,f,l}$ given by the slanted suspension of *M* under *f*, with slant *l*, is measured.

We wish to describe the boundary of a PL *n*-manifold M in terms of the foliation as being *parallel boundary* or *transverse boundary*.[GKK]

We wish to describe the boundary of a PL *n*-manifold M in terms of the foliation as being *parallel boundary* or *transverse boundary*.[GKK]

- The parallel boundary is a subset of the boundary of *M* such that the embedding of the foliation into the upper half-space of \mathbb{R}^n is modeled by a foliation consisting entirely of horizontal lines.
- The transverse boundary is the subset where the same embedding consists entirely of vertical lines.
- A manifold may also be said to have *corners*, if the boundary of the manifold is piecewise of the same smoothness category as the manifold itself.



Given a connected, compact manifold P, a *flow bordism* \mathcal{P} is an oriented 1-foliation of P, such that all boundary of P is either transverse, parallel, or corners [GKK].

Given a connected, compact manifold P, a *flow bordism* \mathcal{P} is an oriented 1-foliation of P, such that all boundary of P is either transverse, parallel, or corners [GKK].

Let F_{-} be the closure of the transverse boundary oriented inwards, and F_{+} be the closure of the transverse boundary oriented outwards. We have two additional properties in which we are interested.

- (i) There exists an infinite leaf with an endpoint in F_{-}
- (ii) There exists a manifold F and two homeomorphisms α_− : F → F_−, α₊ : F → F₊ such that if α₊(p) and α_−(q) are endpoints of a leaf of P, then p = q.

- If a flow bordism satisfies condition (*i*), but not condition (*ii*), it is a *semi-plug*.
- A flow bordism which satisfies (ii) but not (i) is an un-plug.
- If \mathcal{P} has properties (*i*) and (*ii*), it is a *plug*.
- If \mathcal{P} is a plug, the manifold P is the *support* of \mathcal{P} .

[GKK]

Modification of a foliation using a plug requires the operation of *insertion*. Let \mathcal{P} be a flow bordism, and \mathcal{F} a foliation on some manifold M.

- An *insertion map* is an embedding of \mathcal{P} , in which both F_{-} and F_{+} are transverse to \mathcal{F} .
- A flow bordism *P* is *insertible* if there is an embedding of *P* into ℝⁿ which is transverse to vertical lines [GKK].

Modification of a foliation using a plug requires the operation of *insertion*. Let \mathcal{P} be a flow bordism, and \mathcal{F} a foliation on some manifold M.

- An *insertion map* is an embedding of \mathcal{P} , in which both F_{-} and F_{+} are transverse to \mathcal{F} .
- A flow bordism *P* is *insertible* if there is an embedding of *P* into ℝⁿ which is transverse to vertical lines [GKK].

We are looking for a way to modify an existing foliation, by taking leaves whose image under the chart map is that of vertical lines in \mathbb{R}^n , and replacing a portion of these leaves with the leaves in our flow bordism.

A flow bordism with a torus in the middle

We will construct a slanted suspension that gives us a PL version of this.



A flow bordism with a torus in the middle

We are looking for a PL way to make this sort of transformation, to get the parallel boundary we need..



Let $M = [a, b] \times [c, d]$, and $f : M \to M$ a PL-homeomorphism. Take the slanted suspension of M with slant I. If for all $p \in \partial M$, $f(p) \neq p - I$, then $M_{\sim,f,I}$ may be made into a flow bordism, via a leaf-preserving map $g : M_{\sim,f,I} \to M_{\sim,f,I}$. Furthermore, if $M_{\sim,f,I}$ is a measured-foliation, g may be chosen such that $g(M_{\sim,f,I})$ remains measured.

Let $M = [a, b] \times [c, d]$, and $f : M \to M$ a PL-homeomorphism. Take the slanted suspension of M with slant I. If for all $p \in \partial M$, $f(p) \neq p - I$, then $M_{\sim,f,I}$ may be made into a flow bordism, via a leaf-preserving map $g : M_{\sim,f,I} \to M_{\sim,f,I}$. Furthermore, if $M_{\sim,f,I}$ is a measured-foliation, g may be chosen such that $g(M_{\sim,f,I})$ remains measured.

Corollary

A PL flow bordism, with an invariant transverse measure, admits a PL dynamical system, which preserves measure.

Example










Building the main result

• Start with the nested tori defined earlier

Building the main result

• Start with the nested tori defined earlier



- Start with the nested tori defined earlier.
- Use the slanted suspension to build a flow bordism that can be inserted around each torus.

- Start with the nested tori defined earlier.
- Use the slanted suspension to build a flow bordism that can be inserted around each torus.
- Show that the insertion doesn't change the topology of \mathbb{R}^3 .

- Start with the nested tori defined earlier.
- Use the slanted suspension to build a flow bordism that can be inserted around each torus.
- Show that the insertion doesn't change the topology of \mathbb{R}^3 .
- Verify that the foliation is still measured on all of \mathbb{R}^3 .

- Start with the nested tori defined earlier.
- Use the slanted suspension to build a flow bordism that can be inserted around each torus.
- Show that the insertion doesn't change the topology of \mathbb{R}^3 .
- Verify that the foliation is still measured on all of \mathbb{R}^3 .

Let $M = [-3,3] \times [-2,2]$. Triangulate M, and let $f : M \to M$ be the *PL* homeomorphism, which preserves area on 2-simplices, and shifts the vertices of the square $[-1,1] \times [-1,1]$ region down 1/4.

Building our slanted suspension

Let $M = [-3,3] \times [-2,2]$. Triangulate M, and let $f : M \to M$ be the *PL* homeomorphism, which preserves area on 2-simplices, and shifts the vertices of the square $[-1,1] \times [-1,1]$ region down 1/4.





• Take the slanted suspension $M_{\sim,f,1/4}$

- Take the slanted suspension $M_{\sim,f,1/4}$
- *f* preserves area on the 2-simplices here, so the slanted suspension is a measured foliation.

- Take the slanted suspension $M_{\sim,f,1/4}$
- *f* preserves area on the 2-simplices here, so the slanted suspension is a measured foliation.
- *f* is the identity on the boundary of *M*, so the conditions in our earlier theorem are satisfied, and (*M*_{∼,*f*,1/4} can be made into a measured flow bordism.

- Take the slanted suspension $M_{\sim,f,1/4}$
- *f* preserves area on the 2-simplices here, so the slanted suspension is a measured foliation.
- *f* is the identity on the boundary of *M*, so the conditions in our earlier theorem are satisfied, and (*M*_{∼,*f*,1/4} can be made into a measured flow bordism.
- The vertices of the square $[-1,1] \times [-1,1]$ are shifted down by 1/4, and the slant of the suspension is 1/4, so all leaves with points in the boundary of this square are circular.

- Take the slanted suspension $M_{\sim,f,1/4}$
- *f* preserves area on the 2-simplices here, so the slanted suspension is a measured foliation.
- *f* is the identity on the boundary of *M*, so the conditions in our earlier theorem are satisfied, and (*M*_{∼,*f*,1/4} can be made into a measured flow bordism.
- The vertices of the square $[-1,1] \times [-1,1]$ are shifted down by 1/4, and the slant of the suspension is 1/4, so all leaves with points in the boundary of this square are circular.
- We can use a Poincare return map to see where the leaves intersect *M* at each iteration.



Trajectories originating at (0.1,-2) and (-0.1,-2)



Trajectories originating at (1/2,-2) and (-1/2,-2)



Trajectories originating at (1,-2) and (-1,-2)

• Our slanted suspension gives us a measured flow bordism.

- Our slanted suspension gives us a measured flow bordism.
- In the middle of each of these slanted suspensions, there is a *PL* solid torus, whose boundary of foliated by circular leaves.

- Our slanted suspension gives us a measured flow bordism.
- In the middle of each of these slanted suspensions, there is a *PL* solid torus, whose boundary of foliated by circular leaves.
- Scale up the size of the flow bordism until the total volume of the torus in the middle and the total volume of T_i^{PL} are the same, so we can use G. Kuperberg's theorem from earlier.

- Our slanted suspension gives us a measured flow bordism.
- In the middle of each of these slanted suspensions, there is a *PL* solid torus, whose boundary of foliated by circular leaves.
- Scale up the size of the flow bordism until the total volume of the torus in the middle and the total volume of T_i^{PL} are the same, so we can use G. Kuperberg's theorem from earlier.
- If we can carefully remove that torus, we can insert scaled copies of the slanted suspension around each of the nested tori in our earlier construction.

- Our slanted suspension gives us a measured flow bordism.
- In the middle of each of these slanted suspensions, there is a *PL* solid torus, whose boundary of foliated by circular leaves.
- Scale up the size of the flow bordism until the total volume of the torus in the middle and the total volume of T_i^{PL} are the same, so we can use G. Kuperberg's theorem from earlier.
- If we can carefully remove that torus, we can insert scaled copies of the slanted suspension around each of the nested tori in our earlier construction.
- We were careful to construct our earlier foliation so that all of the leaves near each nested torus are vertical, so our flow bordism will insert nicely.

- Begin with a 3-manifold, and pick a solid torus in it's interior.
- Identify a closed curve on the boundary of the torus, the *meridian*, which bounds a disc.

- Begin with a 3-manifold, and pick a solid torus in it's interior.
- Identify a closed curve on the boundary of the torus, the *meridian*, which bounds a disc.



• Take another circle in the boundary of a torus, the *longitude*, which may or may not equal the meridian.

- Take another circle in the boundary of a torus, the *longitude*, which may or may not equal the meridian.
- By removing the torus from the 3-manifold, and gluing in a new one, with the longitude glued along the meridian, we effect a *Dehn Surgery* [G].

- Take another circle in the boundary of a torus, the *longitude*, which may or may not equal the meridian.
- By removing the torus from the 3-manifold, and gluing in a new one, with the longitude glued along the meridian, we effect a *Dehn Surgery* [G].
- Dehn Surgery can change the topology of the manifold [L]. We make careful choices to avoid this.

- Take another circle in the boundary of a torus, the *longitude*, which may or may not equal the meridian.
- By removing the torus from the 3-manifold, and gluing in a new one, with the longitude glued along the meridian, we effect a *Dehn Surgery* [G].
- Dehn Surgery can change the topology of the manifold [L]. We make careful choices to avoid this.



• In our construction, we will choose a meridian on the boundary of each torus in our nested tori.

- In our construction, we will choose a meridian on the boundary of each torus in our nested tori.
- The longitude for the torus removed from the interior of our slanted suspension flow bordism will be chosen to match the meridian on the nested tori.

- In our construction, we will choose a meridian on the boundary of each torus in our nested tori.
- The longitude for the torus removed from the interior of our slanted suspension flow bordism will be chosen to match the meridian on the nested tori.
- This ensures that our gluing does not change the topology of \mathbb{R}^3 .

• Start with our nested sequence of tori (T_n^{PL}) .

- Start with our nested sequence of tori (T_n^{PL}) .
- Foliate each of these tori by "circles".

- Start with our nested sequence of tori (T_n^{PL}) .
- Foliate each of these tori by "circles".
- Scale up the flow bordisms from the slanted suspension, so that each can be inserted around T_i^{PL}.

- Start with our nested sequence of tori (T_n^{PL}) .
- Foliate each of these tori by "circles".
- Scale up the flow bordisms from the slanted suspension, so that each can be inserted around T_i^{PL}.
- This agrees with the existing foliation, so the foliation of each torus is measured.
- Start with our nested sequence of tori (T_n^{PL}) .
- Foliate each of these tori by "circles".
- Scale up the flow bordisms from the slanted suspension, so that each can be inserted around T_i^{PL}.
- This agrees with the existing foliation, so the foliation of each torus is measured.
- G. Kuperberg's theorem gives us that the simplicial measures can be made to agree.
- The tori are large enough and nested in such a way that the insertion around T_i^{PL} does not affect the leaves in the boundary of T_{i+1}^{PL}.

- Start with our nested sequence of tori (T_n^{PL}) .
- Foliate each of these tori by "circles".
- Scale up the flow bordisms from the slanted suspension, so that each can be inserted around T^{PL}_i.
- This agrees with the existing foliation, so the foliation of each torus is measured.
- G. Kuperberg's theorem gives us that the simplicial measures can be made to agree.
- The tori are large enough and nested in such a way that the insertion around T_i^{PL} does not affect the leaves in the boundary of T_{i+1}^{PL}.
- Since each torus now possesses a measured-foliation, we have a non-singular, measure-preserving dynamical system on each torus.

- Start with our nested sequence of tori (T_n^{PL}) .
- Foliate each of these tori by "circles".
- Scale up the flow bordisms from the slanted suspension, so that each can be inserted around T^{PL}_i.
- This agrees with the existing foliation, so the foliation of each torus is measured.
- G. Kuperberg's theorem gives us that the simplicial measures can be made to agree.
- The tori are large enough and nested in such a way that the insertion around T_i^{PL} does not affect the leaves in the boundary of T_{i+1}^{PL}.
- Since each torus now possesses a measured-foliation, we have a non-singular, measure-preserving dynamical system on each torus.
- All orbits beginning in one torus remain there, so all orbits are bounded.

- Start with our nested sequence of tori (T_n^{PL}) .
- Foliate each of these tori by "circles".
- Scale up the flow bordisms from the slanted suspension, so that each can be inserted around T^{PL}_i.
- This agrees with the existing foliation, so the foliation of each torus is measured.
- G. Kuperberg's theorem gives us that the simplicial measures can be made to agree.
- The tori are large enough and nested in such a way that the insertion around T_i^{PL} does not affect the leaves in the boundary of T_{i+1}^{PL}.
- Since each torus now possesses a measured-foliation, we have a non-singular, measure-preserving dynamical system on each torus.
- All orbits beginning in one torus remain there, so all orbits are bounded.
- We therefore have a piecewise-linear, measure-preserving, non-singular dynamical system on ℝ³, with each trajectory contained in a bounded set.

References I

- Fathi, A., Laudenbach, F., and Poénaru, V., Thurston's Work on Surfaces, translated by Djun Kim and Dan Margalit, Mathematical Notes, 48, Princeton University Press, 2012.
- Gordon, C., Dehn Surgery and 3-Manifolds, IAS/Park City Mathematics Series, Volume 16, 2006.
- Henriques, A., Pak, I., Volume-preserving PL-maps between polyhedra, in Lectures on Discrete and Polyhedral Geometry, http://www.math.ucla.edu/ pak/geompol8.pdf.
- Hurder, S. Lectures on Foliation Dynamics, in Foliations: Dynamics, Geometry, and Topology, (Lopez, J.A., Nicolau, M. editors), Springer, Basel, 2014.

References II

- Jones, G.S. and Yorke, J.A. The existence and non-existence of critical points in bounded flows, Journal of Differential Equations, 6, 1969, 236-246.
- Kuperberg, G. A volume-preserving counterexample to the Seifert conjecture, Comment. Math. Helv. 71, 1996, no. 1, 70-97.
- Kuperberg, K. and Kuperberg, G. Generalized counterexamples to the Seifert conjecture, Annals of Mathematics, Second Series, 143, No. 3, 1996, 547-576.
- - Lickorish, W.B.R., *A representation of orientable combinatorial 3-manifolds*, Annals of Math., **76**, 1962, no. 3, 531-540.
- Moser, J., *On the volume elements of a manifold*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, **120**, 1965, 286-294.

References III

- Plante, J., Foliations with measure preserving holonomy, Annals of Mathematics, vol. 102, no. 2, 1975, 327361.
- Royden, H.L., Fitzpatrick, P.M., Real Analysis, 4th edition, Pearson, Boston, 2010
- Ruelle, D. and Sullivan, D. Currents, flows, and diffeomorphisms, Topology, 14, 1975, 319-327.
- Tamura, I. Topology of Foliations: An Introduction, AMS, Providence, 1992.
 - Walczak, P., Dynamics of foliations, groups and pseudogroups, Birkhuser Verlag, 2004.